

GARRETT COUNTY ARTS COUNCIL GRANTS PROGRAM FY24
REVIEW COMMITTEE SCORING RUBRIC SHEET FOR
INDEPENDENT ARTIST GRANTS

Independent Artist: _____

Art Form(s): _____

GCAC Funds Requested: \$ _____

Reviewers Initials: _____

REVIEWER CRITERIA:

- Each item should be scored from 0 to the maximum points allowed for that item based on the reviewer's assessment of how closely the project meets the following criteria.
- Unless indicated as an either/or option, zero points should only be given if no information is provided or applicable.
- Comments and/or questions can be added at the end of the score sheet.
- Only award full points, e.g. 5 not 5.5 points.

1. CONSISTENCY WITH GCAC MISSION AND/OR PROGRAMMING

Is the artist applicant's program/project funding request consistent with the vision of the Garrett County Arts Council to have a vibrant and sustainable arts community for artists, their audiences, and the community at large?

- **20 points** = The artist's request directly aligns with GCAC's goals, objectives, and strategies. You can assign points between 11-20.
- **10 points** = The artist's request tangentially aligns with GCAC's goals, objectives, and strategies. You can assign points between 1-10.
- **0 points** = The artist's request does not align with GCAC's goals, objectives, and strategies. (If no points are awarded, this grant request is INELIGIBLE. Please stop scoring.

(0 – 20 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

2. ARTIST BIOGRAPHY/STATEMENT

- Does the artist's biography/statement clearly describe their overall vision and how this project relates to their oeuvre (body of work)? – **0-10 points**

(0 – 10 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

3. ARTIST PROCESS/CONCEPT STATEMENT

- Does the artist's process/concept statement convey information clearly that further informs you on their artistic processes and practices including inspiration, intention, philosophy, contemporaneity, evolution of style, and current direction of work? – **0-10 points**
- Does the artist's biography/statement and process/concept statement provide clear evidence in presenting promising and/or engaging work? – **0-10 points**

(0 – 20 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

4. FUNDING AWARD PROJECT PROPOSAL

- Is it clear what the funding will be used for? – **0-10 points**

(0 – 10 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

5. TIMELINE

- Is there a clear and specific realistic timeline? Are milestones clearly and specifically described? Are the steps/methods to accomplish those objectives clearly stated and achievable? – **0-10 points**

(0 – 10 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

6. EVALUATION

Is there a clear and specific description of the evaluation process of the project once funding has been received? **0-10 points**

Have they identified the goals and success indicators of this project that will guide their self evaluation process at the conclusion of activities funded? **0-5 points**

Do they convey how they measure growth within their practice and what outcomes qualify as a “success”? **0-5 points**

(0 – 20 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

7. AUDIENCE & COMMUNITY

Is the scope of this project’s impact within the local community defined? 0-5 points

Are specific community group or groups within the region that will be engaged by these activities described? 0-5 points

(0 – 10 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

8. WORK SAMPLES

- Are the described creative process/vision and project proposal clearly evident in the uploaded work samples? – **0-20 points**

(0 – 20 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

9. URGENCY

- Is the program/project proposal underway or ready to proceed immediately? – **0-10 points**

(0 – 10 points) _____

Reviewer Comments & Justification:

Total Reviewer Score (maximum 130 points)